IN THE UNITED STAT NORTHERN DISTRI	ES DISTRICT COURT
ERIC WARE, V. PLAINTIFF.	APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS.
GUY PIERCE, NEDRA CHANDLER, and CAROLE O'Neal,	No. 08-C-50064 FREDERICK J. KAPALA Judge FILE D
DEFENDANTS.	AUG 2 1 2008

LEAVE TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS

MICHAEL W. DOBBINS CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT

Now come the Plaintiff, Eric Ware, pro se, and moves this honorable court to allow Plaintiff to proceed in this action In Forma Pauperis pursuant to the Federal Appellate Rules of Procedure, 24(a), and he states as follows:

1. The Plaintiff requests for Leave to file an Appeal In Forma
Pauperis and has attached an affidavit in support of his Motion requesting such relief above.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff seeks Leave from this Court to Appeal In Forma Pauperis.

RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED:

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS

STATE OF ILLINOIS)
COUNTY OF LEE)

AFFIDAVIT IN SUPPORT OF LEAVE TO APPEAL IN FORMA PAUPERIS

- I, Eric ware, being first duly sworn upon oath deposes and state as follows:
- 1. I attest that I am at least \$368.45 in negative balance and I cannot afford to proceed in this action due to fees charges and cost.
- 2. I am not married and I do not pay any child support fees or other payments in that regard. I do not own any assets and all of the \$10.00 state pay is deducted from my account to cover cost of unrelated litigation, your Honor, I am completely busted.
- 3. I believe that I have a meritorious and non-frivolous claim that I may possibly prevail on.
- 4. In this Appeal, I intend to present for review, Whether the trial court erred by assessing me with more than one strike in one suit in violation of the separations of powers.
- Jones V. Bock, 2007, and the cases that the justices cited in that case. I do not believe that our Congress intend for a dismissal of an entire action on the grounds that a party obtained 3 strikes in a suit that was not dismissed previously, a strike occurs when the entire action is frivolous, the court lacks jurisdiction or an individual is immune from suit.

- 6. I believe that the Seventh circuit Court of Appeals misin-terpreted 28 U.S.C. 1915 in assessing several strikes in one suit especially without it being dismissed in its entirety. GEORGE V. SMITH 2007
- 7. Honorable Judge Kapala, I hope you will find the common ground to allow me to proceed in this Appeal in Forma Pauperis.

FURTHER THE AFFIANT SAYETH NOT

ERIC WARE R-32516

Under the penalter of Penyang, 28 USC 1746, Everything is true and Conect to the best of My ability

7/27/08